## The Development of the

## Life Participation Approach to Aphasia Perception Scale

Fatimah Hani Hassan, M. A.<sup>1,2</sup> and Brooke Hallowell, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>

(1) Ohio University (2) Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (3) Springfield College

Introduction		
The Life Participation Approach to Aphasia (LPAA) highlights the need to address social engagement and participation of people with aphasia (PWA) and their caregivers in activities that matter to them (Chapey et al., 2008).	<ul> <li>Training on the values and applicability of the LPAA in clinical and non-clinical contexts may encourage speech-language pathologists (SLPs) to apply the LPAA in their own practice of supporting PWA.</li> </ul>	
Objective		

UNIVER

SPRINGFIELD COLLEGE  $\nabla$ 

Universiti

ALAYSIA

EBANGSAAN

al University of Malays



- Based on literature review of LPAA-relevant articles
- Initial items = 14
- Involved 18 LPAA experts, who fit one of the following criteria: (a) a board member of Aphasia Access, (b) an author of LPAA-related articles, (c) an instructor of LPAA-related trainings, or (d) an invited speaker on the Aphasia Access podcast series
- LPAA experts anonymously provided comments and suggestions on an online survey
- Items were revised based on comments and suggestions
- Retained items = 13
- Reliability assessment

Scale development

Validation

- Involved 58 SLPs, who self-identified as: (a) being actively engaged in aphasia management, (b) having at least two years of experience working with PWA, and (c) being familiar with the values of LPAA
- SLPs anonymously completed an online version of the revised scale
- Types of reliability assessments: Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) and randomized split-half reliability
- Final items = 13

Results		Discussion
<ul> <li><u>Scale Validation</u></li> <li>The following item was deleted because of disagreements among LPAA experts regarding the appropriateness of the item to be included in the scale:</li> <li><i>"According to the LPAA, PWA who have been discharged may return for SLP services in the future to meet their evolving communication needs."</i></li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Validation of the scale was limited to content validity. <ul> <li>The experts' feedback was qualitative in nature.</li> <li>There were no comparable tools to allow testing of concurrent validity.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reliability of the scale was acceptable.</li> </ul>
		Conclusions
• Examples of the experts' comments related to the deleted item: " It [returning for SLP services after being discharged] is not always supported by funding and is limited by healthcare system constraints." "I don't think the LPAA really extends to this kind of policy statement [even though] LPAA supports the notion that communication needs evolve over time.		<ul> <li>The LPAA Perception Scale may be used to evaluate the knowledge of SLPs regarding the tenets and application of LPAA.</li> <li>It will be important to test intra-rater reliability with people unfamiliar with the LPAA.</li> </ul>
Scale Reliability		References
Type of reliability assessment Internal consistency (α) Randomized split-half reliability (rsb)	Value 0.72 0.79	Chapey, R., Duchan, J. F., Elman, R. J., Garcia, L. J., Kagan, A., Lyon, J. G., & Simmons- Mackie, N. (2008). Life Participation Aprroach to Aphasia: A statement of values for the future. In R. Chapey (Ed.), <i>Language intervention strategies in aphasia and related</i> <i>neurogenic communication disorders</i> (5th ed., pp. 279–289). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
<ul> <li>No single-item removal improves reliability values.</li> </ul>		DeVellis, R. (2017). <i>Scale development: Theory and applications</i> (4th ed.). Los Angeles,

**Acknowledgement:** We thank the experts and participants who took part in the validation and reliability testing processes. We appreciate the support given by Aphasia Access



CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.